

Implementation Models, Theories & Frameworks

Organisational Readiness for Change

Organisational Readiness for Change describes the shared commitment and confidence of a team or organisation to implement a change, focusing on both motivation and capability.

Type

Implementation theory

Purpose

To anticipate, diagnose, and support the uptake of evidence-based innovations, knowledge translation activities, and quality improvement/learning health system initiatives by assessing the psychological and structural determinants of change readiness.

Elements

Core constructs include:

- **Change commitment** (readiness/willingness to change): belief that change is desirable and worth the effort.
- **Change efficacy** (change-related self-efficacy): belief in the organization's collective capability to implement the change.
- **Change valence** (perceived value of the change to stakeholders).
- **Leadership engagement and availability of resources** (human, financial, information) to support change.
- **Organisational climate and culture** (psychological safety, learning climate, collaboration) as contextual barriers or enablers.
- **Availability of knowledge/information** and access to necessary training or coaching.

- **Change procedures and processes** (change management capacity, governance structures, stakeholder engagement).

Use with

- Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR): to structure assessment of inner/outer settings, readiness constructs, and implementation climate. Organisational readiness for change concepts map onto CFIR domains like inner setting, readiness for implementation, and leadership management.
- Implementation Outcomes Framework: Organisational readiness for change helps explain why outcomes such as adoption or feasibility are high or low.
- Knowledge-to-Action (KTA)
- i-PARIHS
- Expert Recommendations for Implementing Change (ERIC)

Strengths and Limitations

STRENGTHS

- Provides a structured, evidence-based lens to anticipate barriers and tailor implementation strategies before or during change.
- Emphasis is on both psychological readiness and organisational capabilities, enabling assessment beyond resource inventories.
- Validated measures of organisational readiness for change enable comparison and benchmarking across settings.
- Adaptable to diverse health contexts and countries (with appropriate cultural adaptation).

LIMITATIONS

- Considerable heterogeneity in how readiness is defined and measured.
- Readiness instruments often require rigorous validation in specific clinical domains or contexts to ensure validity for implementation outcomes.
- Contextual factors may overshadow internal readiness in some implementations.

See it in practice



[Models of care for musculoskeletal health: a cross-sectional qualitative study of Australian stakeholders' perspectives on relevance and standardised evaluation \(Briggs et al., 2015\).](#)



[The influence of organisational readiness on knowledge translation and implementation of innovation in a social hospital: a case study \(Barreto et al., 2025\).](#)

Find out more



[Organizational readiness for change: a systematic review of the healthcare literature \(Caci et al., 2025\).](#)



[A theory of organizational readiness for change \(Weiner, 2009\).](#)

References

- Beasley, L., Grace, S., & Horstmannshof, L. (2021). Assessing individual readiness for change in healthcare: a review of measurement scales. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 35(8), 1062-1079. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jhom-10-2020-0414>
- Briggs, A., Chan, M., & Slater, H. (2016). Models of Care for musculoskeletal health: Moving towards meaningful implementation and evaluation across conditions and care settings. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology*, 30(3), 359-374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2016.09.009>
- Briggs, A., Jordan, J., Speerin, R., Jennings, M., Bragge, P., Chua, J., ... & Slater, H. (2015). Models of care for musculoskeletal health: a cross-sectional qualitative study of Australian stakeholders' perspectives on relevance and standardised evaluation. *BMC Health Services Research*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-1173-9>
- Dusin, J., Melanson, A., & Lawson, L. (2023). Evidence-based practice models and frameworks in the healthcare setting: a scoping review. *BMJ Open*, 13(5), e071188. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-071188>
- Ezezika, O., Mengistu, M., & Lear, T. (2021). Implementation of the Meningitis Vaccine Project in Africa: lessons for vaccine implementation programs. *Journal of Global Health Reports*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.29392/001c.29042>
- Giroux, C., Zomahoun, H., Boies, S., Bush, P., Alkhaldi, M., Talla, P., ... & Ahmed, S. (2025). Assessing healthcare organisations' readiness to implement a learning health system: protocol for questionnaire validation using a Delphi method. *BMJ Open*, 15(8), e088720. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2024-088720>
- Heijsters, F., Santema, J., Mullender, M., Bouman, M., Bruijne, M., & Nassau, F. (2022). Stakeholders' barriers and facilitators for the implementation of a personalised digital care pathway: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open*, 12(11), e065778. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065778>
- Kalbarczyk, A., Rao, A., & Alonge, O. (2021). A mixed methods study to develop a tool to assess institutional readiness to conduct knowledge translation activities in low-income and middle-income countries. *BMJ Open*, 11(10), e050049. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050049>
- Lindig, A., Hahlweg, P., Christalle, E., & Scholl, I. (2020). Translation and psychometric evaluation of the German version of the Organisational Readiness for Implementing Change measure (ORIC): a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*, 10(6), e034380. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034380>
- Low, L., Rahim, I., Johari, M., Abdullah, Z., Aziz, S., Suhaimi, N., ... & Murad, S. (2019). Assessing receptiveness to change among primary healthcare providers by adopting the consolidated framework for implementation research (CFIR). *BMC Health Services Research*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4312-x>
- McNamara, D., Lonergan, P., Rafferty, P., Fitzpatrick, F., & Hayes, C. (2025). Use of implementation science frameworks to identify core components and sustainability characteristics of a quality improvement learning collaborative. *BMC Health Services Research*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-025-13346-9>

Oostendorp, L., Durand, M., Lloyd, A., & Elwyn, G. (2015). Measuring organisational readiness for patient engagement (MORE): an international online Delphi consensus study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 15(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-0717-3>

Worum, H., Lillekroken, D., Ahlsen, B., Roaldsen, K., & Bergland, A. (2020). Otago exercise programme – from evidence to practice: a qualitative study of physiotherapists' perceptions of the importance of organisational factors of leadership, context and culture for knowledge translation in Norway. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05853-8>